

# Homework 1

Due 7 October

For this homework, we will introduce two new kinds of propositions. The first kind is a propositional variable, which we will represent with  $\tau$  (or if we need more than one,  $\tau_0, \tau_1, \tau_2$ , etc.). Propositional variables are stand ins for propositions, just as proof variables like  $x$  and  $y$  were stand ins for proofs. In much the same way  $x$  means “I’ll give you a proof eventually, but pretend you have it now, and call it  $x$ ”, a propositional variable  $\tau$  means “I’ll give you a proposition eventually, but pretend you have it now, and call it  $\tau$ ”. The second new kind of proposition, a quantified proposition, is analogous to a hypothetical proof with  $\lambda$ . Just as  $\lambda x. \mathcal{B}$  means that the assumed proof  $x$  is discharged, the proposition  $\forall \tau. S$  means that the assumed proposition  $\tau$  is also discharged. Here are the formation, introduction, and elimination rules for the  $\forall$  quantifier:

$$\frac{X \text{ prop}}{\forall \tau. X \text{ prop}} \forall F \text{ (if } \tau \text{ is free in } X\text{)}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{M} : X}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{M} : \forall \tau. X} \forall I \text{ (if } \tau \text{ is free in } X \text{ and is not used in } \Gamma\text{)}$$
$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{M} : \forall \tau. X}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{M} : X[Y/\tau]} \forall E$$

The things in parentheses are additional requirements that have to hold in order for the inference rule to be used. So, in order to use  $\forall F$  to check that a quantified proposition is in fact a proposition and not gibberish, it has to be true that  $\tau$  is free inside the scope  $X$  (that is to say, there is no other  $\forall \tau$  somewhere in  $X$ ). Similarly, to actually prove a quantified proposition is true,  $\tau$  must be free, and also not used anywhere in the context  $\Gamma$ . Lastly, to eliminate a  $\forall$ , you just strip off the quantification, and replace  $\tau$  with any proposition  $Y$  in the remaining scope. I should emphasize: any time you use a propositional variable like  $\tau$ , you *must* eventually discharge it using  $\forall I$ .

Let’s look at some simple example proofs just to see how this works. First, let’s show that  $\lambda x. x$  is a proof of  $\forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau$ , from no assumptions. We begin as always:

$$\vdots$$

$$\vdash \lambda x.x : \forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau$$

We have no hypotheses, so we can't use an elim rule top down, nor can we just use a hypothesis to prove the goal  $\forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau$ , so the only thing we can do is try to use  $\forall I$ . Since  $X$  is  $\tau \rightarrow \tau$ , our condition that  $\tau$  is free in  $X$  is satisfied, and since  $\Gamma$  is nothing, our condition that  $\tau$  is not in  $\Gamma$  is also satisfied. So we can indeed apply this rule:

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{\vdash \lambda x.x : \tau \rightarrow \tau}{\vdash \lambda x.x : \forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau} \forall I_\tau$$

At this point the proof is a boring old proof, so without explaining each step, we get in the end:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \text{hyp}}{\vdash \lambda x.x : \tau \rightarrow \tau} \rightarrow I_x}{\vdash \lambda x.x : \forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau} \forall I_\tau$$

Now let's have an example of using the  $\forall E$  rule. Let's prove that if we have an assumption  $b : \forall \tau. \tau$ , we can prove  $\perp$ . It's quite simple:

$$\vdots$$

$$b : \forall \tau. \tau \vdash \blacksquare : \perp$$

We have no intros for  $\perp$ , and not hypothesis of type  $\perp$ , so the only thing we can try is an elim on  $\forall \tau. \tau$ .

$$\frac{\frac{}{b : \forall \tau. \tau \vdash b : \forall \tau. \tau} \text{hyp}}{b : \forall \tau. \tau \vdash b : \tau[Y/\tau]} \forall E$$

$$\vdots$$

$$b : \forall \tau. \tau \vdash \blacksquare : \perp$$

We have to pick a  $Y$  to substitute for  $\tau$ , so that we can eventually prove  $\perp$ . Obviously, if we choose  $Y$  to be  $\perp$  itself, then we get  $\tau[\perp/\tau] = \perp$ , which is our goal. So we end up with

$$\frac{\frac{}{b : \forall \tau. \tau \vdash b : \forall \tau. \tau} \text{hyp}}{b : \forall \tau. \tau \vdash b : \perp} \forall E$$

These two propositions —  $\forall \tau. \tau$  and  $\forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau$  — are in fact equivalent to  $\perp$  and  $\top$ , respectively. That is to say,  $\perp \dashv\vdash \forall \tau. \tau$  and  $\top \dashv\vdash \forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau$ , so we could in fact get rid of the  $\perp$  connective and the  $\top$  connective entirely, because they're now redundant.

Let's now prove something slightly more interesting, namely that  $A \dashv\vdash \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau$ . In the forward direction, the proof is relatively simple:

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x : A \vdash \square : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \end{array}$$

The only thing we can try is  $\forall I$ , and the side condition is satisfied, so we can indeed apply that rule:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x : A \vdash \square : (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \end{array}}{x : A \vdash \square : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \forall I_\tau$$

At this point, we just continue applying the old rules we've been using, and we end up with the complete proof

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{\frac{}{x : A, f : A \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : A \rightarrow \tau} \text{hyp}}{x : A \vdash \lambda f. f x : (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \rightarrow I_f}{x : A \vdash \lambda f. f x : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \forall I_\tau}{\frac{\frac{}{x : A, f : A \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : A \rightarrow \tau} \text{hyp}}{x : A, f : A \rightarrow \tau \vdash x : A} \text{hyp}}{\rightarrow E}$$

The reverse direction requires a little more guesswork, but isn't too bad either:

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \square : A \end{array}$$

The only thing we can do is elim the  $\forall$ . We have to pick a proposition to substitution, so we ought to look at the scope of the quantifier to see what we're going to do with it. The scope is  $(A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau$ . We can continue to elim on this to yield a proof of whatever we chose for  $\tau$ . So if we choose for  $\tau$  to become  $A$  when doing the  $\forall E$ , we can eventually elim down to a proof of our goal  $A$ . So that's what we choose:

$$\frac{\frac{}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \text{hyp}}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : (A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A} \forall E$$

$\vdots$

$$f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \blacksquare : A$$

Now we can apply  $\rightarrow E$ , which introduces a new goal while also solving our previous goal:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \text{hyp}}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : (A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A} \forall E \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \blacksquare : A \rightarrow A \end{array}}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \blacksquare : A} \rightarrow E$$

But this new goal is quite easy to prove using  $\rightarrow I$  and  $\text{hyp}$ , so we end up with:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \text{hyp}}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : (A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A} \forall E \quad \frac{\frac{}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau, x : A \vdash x : A} \text{hyp}}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \lambda x. x : A \rightarrow A} \rightarrow I_x}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f(\lambda x. x) : A} \rightarrow E$$

Problems on next page.

## 1 Problem 1

Show that with  $\forall$ ,  $\wedge$  is redundant, by proving both directions of  $A \wedge B \dashv\vdash \forall\tau.(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau$ . In other words, complete these two incomplete proofs (including filling in proof terms):

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ p : A \wedge B \vdash \text{█} : \forall\tau.(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ f : \forall\tau.(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \text{█} : A \wedge B \end{array}$$

## 2 Problem 2

Show that with  $\forall$ ,  $\vee$  is redundant, by proving both directions of  $A \vee B \dashv\vdash \forall\tau.(A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau$ . In other words, complete these two incomplete proofs (including filling in proof terms):

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ d : A \vee B \vdash \text{█} : \forall\tau.(A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ f : \forall\tau.(A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \text{█} : A \vee B \end{array}$$