

Homework 1

Due 7 October

For this homework, we will introduce two new kinds of propositions. The first kind is a propositional variable, which we will represent with τ (or if we need more than one, τ_0, τ_1, τ_2 , etc.). Propositional variables are stand ins for propositions, just as proof variables like x and y were stand ins for proofs. In much the same way x means “I’ll give you a proof eventually, but pretend you have it now, and call it x ”, a propositional variable τ means “I’ll give you a proposition eventually, but pretend you have it now, and call it τ ”. The second new kind of proposition, a quantified proposition, is analogous to a hypothetical proof with λ . Just as $\lambda x.B$ means that the assumed proof x is discharged, the proposition $\forall\tau.S$ means that the assumed proposition τ is also discharged. Here are the formation, introduction, and elimination rules for the \forall quantifier:

$$\frac{X \text{ prop}}{\forall\tau.X \text{ prop}} \forall F \text{ (if } \tau \text{ is free in } X)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{M} : X}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{M} : \forall\tau.X} \forall I_\tau \text{ (if } \tau \text{ is free in } X \text{ and is not used in } \Gamma)$$

$$\frac{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{M} : \forall\tau.X}{\Gamma \vdash \mathcal{M} : X[Y/\tau]} \forall E$$

The things in parentheses are additional requirements that have to hold in order for the inference rule to be used. So, in order to use $\forall F$ to check that a quantified proposition is in fact a proposition and not gibberish, it has to be true that τ is free inside the scope X (that is to say, there is no other $\forall\tau$ somewhere in X). Similarly, to actually prove a quantified proposition is true, τ must be free, and also not used anywhere in the context Γ . Lastly, to eliminate a \forall , you just strip off the quantification, and replace τ with any proposition Y in the remaining scope. I should emphasize: any time you use a propositional variable like τ , you *must* eventually discharge it using $\forall I$.

Let’s look at some simple example proofs just to see how this works. First, let’s show that $\lambda x.x$ is a proof of $\forall\tau.\tau \rightarrow \tau$, from no assumptions. We begin as always:

$$\vdots$$

$$\vdash \lambda x.x : \forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau$$

We have no hypotheses, so we can't use an elim rule top down, nor can we just use a hypothesis to prove the goal $\forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau$, so the only thing we can do is try to use $\forall I$. Since X is $\tau \rightarrow \tau$, our condition that τ is free in X is satisfied, and since Γ is nothing, our condition that τ is not in Γ is also satisfied. So we can indeed apply this rule:

$$\vdots$$

$$\frac{\vdash \lambda x.x : \tau \rightarrow \tau}{\vdash \lambda x.x : \forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau} \forall I_\tau$$

At this point the proof is a boring old proof, so without explaining each step, we get in the end:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{x : \tau \vdash x : \tau} \text{hyp}}{\vdash \lambda x.x : \tau \rightarrow \tau} \rightarrow I_x}{\vdash \lambda x.x : \forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau} \forall I_\tau$$

Now let's have an example of using the $\forall E$ rule. Let's prove that if we have an assumption $b : \forall \tau. \tau$, we can prove \perp . It's quite simple:

$$\vdots$$

$$b : \forall \tau. \tau \vdash \square : \perp$$

We have no intros for \perp , and not hypothesis of type \perp , so the only thing we can try is an elim on $\forall \tau. \tau$.

$$\frac{\frac{}{b : \forall \tau. \tau \vdash b : \forall \tau. \tau} \text{hyp}}{b : \forall \tau. \tau \vdash b : \tau[Y/\tau]} \forall E$$

$$\vdots$$

$$b : \forall \tau. \tau \vdash \square : \perp$$

We have to pick a Y to substitute for τ , so that we can eventually prove \perp . Obviously, if we choose Y to be \perp itself, then we get $\tau[\perp/\tau] = \perp$, which is our goal. So we end up with

$$\frac{\frac{}{b : \forall \tau. \tau \vdash b : \forall \tau. \tau} \text{hyp}}{b : \forall \tau. \tau \vdash b : \perp} \forall E$$

These two propositions — $\forall \tau. \tau$ and $\forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau$ — are in fact equivalent to \perp and \top , respectively. That is to say, $\perp \dashv\vdash \forall \tau. \tau$ and $\top \dashv\vdash \forall \tau. \tau \rightarrow \tau$, so we could in fact get rid of the \perp connective and the \top connective entirely, because they're now redundant.

Let's now prove something slightly more interesting, namely that $A \dashv\vdash \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau$. In the forward direction, the proof is relatively simple:

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x : A \vdash \boxed{} : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \end{array}$$

The only thing we can try is $\forall I$, and the side condition is satisfied, so we can indeed apply that rule:

$$\frac{\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ x : A \vdash \boxed{} : (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \end{array}}{x : A \vdash \boxed{} : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \forall I_\tau$$

At this point, we just continue applying the old rules we've been using, and we end up with the complete proof

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{x : A, f : A \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : A \rightarrow \tau} \text{hyp} \quad \frac{}{x : A, f : A \rightarrow \tau \vdash x : A} \text{hyp}}{\frac{x : A, f : A \rightarrow \tau \vdash f x : \tau}{x : A \vdash \lambda f. f x : (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \rightarrow I_f} \forall I_\tau}{x : A \vdash \lambda f. f x : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \rightarrow E$$

The reverse direction requires a little more guesswork, but isn't too bad either:

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \boxed{} : A \end{array}$$

The only thing we can do is elim the \forall . We have to pick a proposition to substitution, so we ought to look at the scope of the quantifier to see what we're going to do with it. The scope is $(A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau$. We can continue to elim on this to yield a proof of whatever we chose for τ . So if we choose for τ to become A when doing the $\forall E$, we can eventually elim down to a proof of our goal A . So that's what we choose:

$$\frac{\frac{}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \text{hyp}}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : (A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A} \forall E$$

\vdots

$$f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \boxed{} : A$$

Now we can apply $\rightarrow E$, which introduces a new goal while also solving our previous goal:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \text{hyp}}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : (A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A} \forall E \quad \begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \boxed{} : A \rightarrow A \end{array}}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \boxed{} : A} \rightarrow E$$

But this new goal is quite easy to prove using $\rightarrow I$ and hyp, so we end up with:

$$\frac{\frac{\frac{}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau} \text{hyp}}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f : (A \rightarrow A) \rightarrow A} \forall E \quad \frac{\frac{}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau, x : A \vdash x : A} \text{hyp}}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \lambda x. x : A \rightarrow A} \rightarrow I_x}{f : \forall \tau. (A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash f(\lambda x. x) : A} \rightarrow E$$

Problems on next page.

1 Problem 1

Show that with \forall , \wedge is redundant, by proving both directions of $A \wedge B \dashv\vdash \forall\tau.(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau$. In other words, complete these two incomplete proofs (including filling in proof terms):

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ p : A \wedge B \vdash \square : \forall\tau.(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ f : \forall\tau.(A \rightarrow B \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \square : A \wedge B \end{array}$$

2 Problem 2

Show that with \forall , \vee is redundant, by proving both directions of $A \vee B \dashv\vdash \forall\tau.(A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau$. In other words, complete these two incomplete proofs (including filling in proof terms):

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ d : A \vee B \vdash \square : \forall\tau.(A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} \vdots \\ f : \forall\tau.(A \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (B \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow \tau \vdash \square : A \vee B \end{array}$$